

**Chautauqua County  
Occupancy Tax Grant-Lakes and Waterways  
In-Lake Program Application Ranking & Evaluation**

Organization	Project	Number
<b>Scoring Criteria</b>		<b>Reviewer Score</b>
<b>1. Project Narrative (Up to 20 Points)</b>		
<i>Narrative Elements:</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/> What Lake or Lakes are involved <input type="checkbox"/> Description of need <input type="checkbox"/> Scope of work <input type="checkbox"/> Who will do the work <input type="checkbox"/> What permits are required <input type="checkbox"/> What are the environmental impacts (see the NYS Environmental Assessment Form) <input type="checkbox"/> Is the project being phased <input type="checkbox"/> What other funds are being used to implement the project <input type="checkbox"/> Project schedule <input type="checkbox"/> Is the project worth the money		
a. Narrative content addresses: Few elements = 3, Some elements = 6, Most elements = 9, All elements = 10		
b. Narrative quality: Poorly written = 3, Better writing but raises questions regarding feasibility and execution = 6, Adequately written but does not fully justify the investment = 9, Well written and thoroughly justifies the investment = 10		
<b>2. Improves Tourism and Recreation Opportunities (Up to 20 Points)</b>		
<i>Anticipated Improvements:</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Water-related tourism activities and water-related recreational activities		
a. Anticipated increase in tourism opportunities: None = 0, Very Low = 1, Low = 3, Medium = 5, High = 8, Very High = 10		
b. Anticipated increase in recreation opportunities: None = 0, Very Low = 1, Low = 3, Medium = 5, High = 8, Very High = 10		
<b>3. Stakeholder Collaboration (Up to 5 Points)</b>		
<i>Evaluation Factors:</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Two or more organizations involved <input type="checkbox"/> Reaches a large number of stakeholders, including tourists, boaters, fishermen, lakeshore property owners, businesses and attractions. <input type="checkbox"/> Synergy with other restoration, research, or maintenance projects <input type="checkbox"/> Public or neighborhood acceptance		
a. Reviewer's assessment of collaborative efforts: None = 0, Very Low = 1, Low = 2, Medium = 3, High = 4, Very High = 5		

<b>4. Matching Federal, State and Local Funds (Up to 5 Points)</b>	
<i>Evaluation Factors:</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Matching funds are committed and immediately available upon award of this grant <input type="checkbox"/> Matching funds have been requested from a private source, State or Federal grant program, but have not yet been awarded <input type="checkbox"/> Matching funds may be available from a private source, State or Federal grant program that has not yet been applied for.	
a. Reviewer's assessment of matching funds: None = 0, Very Low = 1, Low = 2, Medium = 3, High = 4, Very High = 5	
<b>Total Reviewer's Score</b>	

**Scoring Summary:**

<b>Project Impacts</b>	<b>Maximum Score</b>	<b>Reviewer Score</b>
1. Project Narrative	20	
2. Improves Tourism and Recreation Opportunities	20	
3. Stakeholder Collaboration	5	
4. Matching Federal, State or Local Funds	5	
<b>Total Reviewer Score</b>	<b>50</b>	