

Minutes

Public Safety Committee

August 21, 2019, 4:15 pm, Room 331

Gerace Office Building, Mayville, NY

Members Present: Niebel, Whitford, Vanstrom, Bankoski, Pavlock

Others: Tampio, Ames, O'Connell, Dennison, Cresanti, Quattrone, Rice, Wendel, Crow, Wisniewski

Chairman Niebel called the meeting to order at 4:18 p.m.

Approval of Minutes (7/17//19)

MOVED by Legislator Bankoski, SECONDED by Legislator Pavlock

Unanimously Carried

Privilege of the Floor

No one chose to speak at this time.

Proposed Resolution - Authorize Lease Agreement with City of Jamestown for Office Space for the Chautauqua County Public Defender's Office

Mr. Rice: Pat Rice with the Public Defender's office. Ned asked me to appear on his behalf today. We've been renting a room or leasing a room in Jamestown City Hall for the last number of years. I'm not sure how long we've had the room. It's been for a while. The lease is up for renewal. We're asking the County to approve the lease. Our attorneys use that room on a regular basis. We use it for witness preparation, we use it during trials, it's something that makes their lives a lot easier in terms of representing the indigent in Jamestown.

Legislator Bankoski: Are there any changes in the lease agreement from the past?

Chairman Niebel: There is an escalator clause.

Mr. Rice: The rent increases over a period of time. I think that it's like \$25.00 per month, per year over the life of the lease.

Legislator Bankoski: In the past, it was a mixed rate?

Mr. Rice: I'm not sure about the past to be quite candid with you.

Chairman Niebel: And Bob, it's the same office space they have rented in the past, I think. About 324 square feet. They paid \$325 for this year, then next year it goes up to \$350 and then \$375 but it does include the heat. Now the County is responsible for the phone, the computer, cable, internet services.

Mr. Rice: And that will continue.

Chairman Niebel: And this is something you guys need Pat.

Mr. Rice: Yes.

Chairman Niebel: You need presence in the City of Jamestown.

Mr. Rice: Right.

Legislator Bankoski: I wasn't arguing that point at all. I was just curious if it was the same terms as it was in the past.

Mr. Rice: It's very similar. I think last year it was \$325 a month.

Chairman Niebel: Anything else?

Legislator Pavlock: The Public Defender's office is currently looking at a different space to rent in town, correct?

Mr. Rice: We have a space in the old post office at this point.

Legislator Pavlock: So both spaces are still needed?

Mr. Rice: Yes. As I say, we use that room for trials and hearings and to interview witnesses. It comes in handy because it's close to the court room. Just a floor above the court room.

Mrs. Dennison: I just looked up the 2018 rental expense, it was \$300 a month in 2018.

Chairman Niebel: Anything else? Those in favor?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Authorize Agreement with Town of Charlotte for Enhanced Police Protection Services FY19

Sheriff Quattrone: This is a request for an enhanced police contract with the Town of Charlotte. It's basically going to be about one day a month and not to exceed the \$4,500. They are going to reimburse us for our costs so it will be budget neutral.

Chairman Niebel: That helps a lot. The Town of Charlotte, have we had anything with Charlotte recently?

Sheriff Quattrone: We have not. This is the first one that I am aware of since I have been with the Sheriff's office. It came about from the Supervisor who had requested awhile back for a car just to do some traffic and they liked it so he asked if we could get a car there once in a while.

Chairman Niebel: Any questions of the Sheriff's Department?

Legislator Pavlock: I just have a question with these enhanced kind of things. Is our coverage more in those areas? Why are they asking for more?

Sheriff Quattrone: We split the County up into four zones so in theory, each car covers 6 or 7 townships, depending on what zone they are, so it just give them some added specific patrol coverage.

Legislator Pavlock: So someone else could be losing too because your adding.

Sheriff Quattrone: Nobody would be losing. This would be an added car, part time deputy covering that area. We don't take a car out of another zone.

Chairman Niebel: Dan, years ago, every town had their own town cop.

Legislator Pavlock: I understand the dynamics behind it but also the Sheriff's Department does that service and it shouldn't be up to the responsibilities of the towns to have to enhance it in a sense. But, I know it's probably (*inaudible*).

Sheriff Quattrone: That's was their desire.

Chairman Niebel: They've asked for it.

Sheriff Quattrone: Right, that extra coverage. We just don't have the manpower to – like this time of year, I think we have like 45 or 50 special request to run radar in different locations around the County. We try to hit them but sporadically.

Legislator Pavlock: Ideally, you could add 10 more Sheriff's and have the right coverage but that's big budget stuff.

Sheriff Quattrone: I have a feeling that might be a difficult push.

Legislator Whitford: That's the question we get from constituents. Because they say, why are they paying for it if the County is responsible for providing that service. We just have to explain that they want extra coverage.

Chairman Niebel: This is a little bit more coverage.

Sheriff Quattrone: They are going to get coverage if they call, we're going to be sending the closest car no matter what but it's just that added specific coverage.

Legislator Whitford: They want it dedicated.

Sheriff Quattrone: Right.

Legislator Bankoski: That could be because they have an event going on or something like that?

Sheriff Quattrone: Could be sometimes events. Generally with events, they've all signed special contracts, we just try to, if it something where there is going to be a crowd or anything we anticipate will have issues. We just try and assign a car there.

Legislator Pavlock: People get comfortable not seeing any police in an area so they will be prone to speed or roll through STOP signs, that sort of thing.

Chairman Niebel: Sometimes the towns just feel more comfortable asking for more police presence and in this case, they are willing to pay for it. Any other questions?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution - Authorize Agreement with Frewsburg Central School District for School Resource Officer

Sheriff Quattrone: Frewsburg Central School contacted me earlier in the year. They have been trying to get a School Resource Officer. They initially started working with the Town of Carroll police and for whatever reason, that didn't work out so they reached out to us. They are very eager to get this position. As you can see the total cost is \$87,321 which is slightly higher than some of the other schools but the reason for that is, we're trying to make sure that we're covering the cost and this is where the numbers will be close to when we try and negotiate the other contracts with the schools as they expire.

Chairman Niebel: It looks like it's for \$29,108.

Sheriff Quattrone: That was for the 2019 budget. So the remainder (*cross talk*)...

Chairman Niebel: O.k., any questions?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Authorize Agreements for Inmate Housing

Mrs. Cresanti: This is our attempt – our County Attorney suggested by don't we try and do a generalized resolution that covers all of our back and forth contracts that are associated with inmate housing. There are budget lines both in for this just in case we need to use it or they need to use it and we reap the benefits. The range is typically anywhere from \$50 per day, per inmate, to \$80 per day, per inmate and the agreements are always reciprocal, so what we charge is the same amount that we are charged if we were to need to use the services in their jails. Basically rather than everybody spending the time every time one of those amounts changes or another one request that reciprocal agreement, we kind of figured we would take a first time shot at trying to generalize the resolution enabling us to enter into these agreements without coming to you and spend your time on it every time.

Chairman Niebel: Jim, I think that makes sense because we've had these for Cattaraugus County, we've had them for Wyoming County –

Mrs. Cresanti: Wayne County.

Chairman Niebel: Erie County, Niagara perhaps?

Sheriff Quattrone: Sometimes these happen because, like Wyoming County, we ended up doing one. The inmate had attacked the Sheriff in the County so his jail probably wasn't the right place to place him.

Chairman Niebel: So then this will be something in the future that will be worked out between you and the County Executive, I guess and the different counties, or whatever?

Mrs. Cresanti: Correct.

Chairman Niebel: You won't have to come back to us each time for this?

Mrs. Cresanti: Correct.

Chairman Niebel: O.k., any questions on that?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Authorize Agreement with Chautauqua-Cattaraugus Erie II BOCES for Culinary Arts Instruction

Sheriff Quattrone: This resolution is really to help with job training within the Jail, with the inmates. For eligible inmates and those are usually those who aren't causing problems but they work in the kitchen, get some specific training from culinary arts instructor and BOCES is – have to look at the payment, is \$37,051 to do that program.

Mrs. Cresanti: This is an annual program.

Chairman Niebel: It's come up every year since I've been on Public Safety. This is for inmates that have not attacked anybody with knives, I take it.

Sheriff Quattrone: Correct. The knives are still tethered down though.

Legislator Whitford: Is there a process? Do they have to go through an interview thing or do you just (*cross talk*).

Sheriff Quattrone: I don't know the exact process but they do evaluate them to make sure that they are going to be a good fit for the program. They would hopefully be able to obtain employment in that field when they are released from the jail. Again, no violent. It's tongue and cheek, no incidences of that type of violence.

Chairman Niebel: Assault with weapons.

Sheriff Quattrone: Right.

Chairman Niebel: Those in favor?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Authorize Execution of United States Department of Justice Grant – Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces (OCDETF) FY19

Mrs. Cresanti: This is, I think this is the first time we have received this grant, at least the first time in quite some time. This is for overtime that is being incurred in our Task Forces joint efforts on an actual Federal case. They are reimbursing us up to a certain amount in exchange for our Task Force time.

Chairman Niebel: It's only for \$10,000.

Mrs. Cresanti: Correct.

Sheriff Quattrone: This is something we are going to be doing irregardless. It's just a bonus that the Federal government is, through this program, offered to help offset the overtime costs.

Chairman Niebel: Great. Any questions?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Authorize Budget Amendments for Service Contract for Maintenance of Communication System

Mrs. Cresanti: This one is a little bit – slightly confusing. We have had an agreement with Motorola for the last few years and the agreement that we have in place is strictly a maintenance

agreement. The maintenance is only for use in the event of failures and it's a preventive maintenance as well. This new contract is going to be replacing the current contract. It's called a Systems Upgrade agreement. It provides the same maintenance but it also contracts for the system upgrades that are necessary every so often to the live system. Everything from implementing the upgrades, training on the upgrades, everything of that nature. So, this new contract is for the \$2,856,000. Budgetary the only amendment that needs to be made is the additional cost of this new agreement for 2019 because we have the cost of the original agreement built into 2019, so this agreement obviously cost a little bit more. However, the \$331,492 is inclusive of the original agreement cost already so that actually should read, \$196,882 and it's 100% reimbursed with grant funds.

Chairman Niebel: Again, the \$196,882?

Mrs. Cresanti: That is correct.

Chairman Niebel: O.k., Jen, so the original agreement was for \$1.2, is that correct?

Mrs. Cresanti: It is, \$1.289.

Chairman Niebel: And this is for \$2.8?

Mrs. Cresanti: Correct.

Chairman Niebel: So the amount that we should have here, is \$196,882, you are saying?

Mrs. Cresanti: Correct.

Chairman Niebel: So we should change that?

Legislator Vanstrom: In both of those accounts?

Mrs. Cresanti: Yes. That \$331,492 is the total cost for this year. So it doesn't appropriately reflect the increase.

Sheriff Quattrone: We were already paying some.

Chairman Niebel: And you have already reflected some of it in this year's budget.

Mrs. Cresanti: Correct.

Chairman Niebel: So we need to increase it by the \$196,882?

Mrs. Cresanti: Yes.

Chairman Niebel: Bob, that included – they have part of it in the budget this year, they need to increase it to take into consideration the \$2.8 that they now have. Alright -

Legislator Vanstrom: I don't understand all these numbers exactly the way it is but understand the bottom line.

Chairman Niebel: Well, they originally had a grant and this has been upgraded. Thank you guys for getting the upgrade. Whoever worked on that and got it up to \$2.8, that helps out a lot. Thank you guys or whoever was from your department that did that. O.k. we need an amendment to this, right?

Legislator Vanstrom: We need to change those numbers, you said?

Chairman Niebel: Yes we do. So we need a motion to change is \$331,492 to \$196,882.

Legislator Vanstrom: I will make that motion.

Legislator Pavlock: I will second that.

Chairman Niebel: Those in favor of the amendment?

Carried

Chairman Niebel: O.k., any questions for the Sheriff's Department on this resolution as amended?

Unanimously Carried as amended

Proposed Resolution – Approving Labor Contract with CSEA Unit 6322 (Part-Time Deputy Sheriffs)

Ms. Wisniewski: I have a handout for the Committee just as a visual. We do have a tentative agreement with CSEA 6322 which is the part time Deputy Sheriff's. It has been voted on by the membership and was voted to agree so here we are presenting it. What I have for you is four different bullet points that you will see. These are the main items that were agreed upon and the first one is, the tentative agreement, the wage increase. So in 2019, they expired on December of 2018. For this year currently it's 2% and that does include retroactive back to January 1, 2019, in 2020, it's 3%, and 2021 is 2%. I do want to state and I'm sure it's obvious but, they start higher, we start lower, there was negotiations in place but there was a reason why we agreed to what we did. Two main points. One I would say to have competitive wages for our part time Deputy Sheriff's. There currently is a recruitment and retention issue with these part time Deputy Sheriff's. They were unrepresented for several years and they did not receive increases. We are currently and maybe the Sheriff will speak a little bit more to it but we are currently losing whether it's to other municipalities, other counties, and States and a lot of the pool for the full time Deputy Sheriff does come from the part time Deputy Sheriff's. So that is why we agreed to these wage increases.

Chairman Niebel: Jim, anything to add to that?

Sheriff Quattrone: Just to reiterate what Jessica was saying. Big pool for our full time does come from our part timers. It gives us an opportunity some times to evaluate their service for a year or two and bring them on. We have been losing for years mainly to Pennsylvania but then some other for our part timers, to some of the smaller PD's, Westfield, Ellicott, Lakewood, Busti, and it's been difficult. Coming out of our academy this year, every single person that went through that academy, is currently working. We're anticipating some more openings and we have nobody to fill them at this time. It's kind of a bleak outlook with the civil service test that's being offered in September, there is a small turn out for that also.

Ms. Wisniewski: The second bullet is the salary step eligibility, effective upon ratification. Currently there are three steps. Step 1 is at a certain wage and they get that upon entering in as part time Deputy Sheriff's. Step 2 currently in the contract, they don't receive the next increment until they have been here for 5 years. Step 3 is the final increment and they have to be here for 10 years. Again, kind of what we have been discussing is that the part time pool is ultimately not a long term career that we would want for them to have. We would want them to move into, as the Sheriff stated, a full time Deputy. So something that was negotiated was lessening the amount of years to get to the next step. So what we've tentatively agreed on is to get to Step 2, it will be 3 years instead of 5 years of service. Instead of 10 years for Step 3, it will be 5 years of service. The third bullet, all employees who work over an 8 hour shift, shall be paid a premium pay of \$1.00 per hour for the additional hours. This being a part time Deputy Sheriff unit, it's very rare that someone would receive overtime but there are situations specific to transport for example, who are taking inmates to, let's just say for example, New York City who are working longer days, over the 8 hours, and that is what that would be pertaining to. It wouldn't be for the full shift. It would be just for any additional hours over those 8 hours, they would get an additional \$1.00 premium on their pay. Lastly, this is brand new to their contracts but after they complete the probationary period, the part timers, they would be eligible to participate in a physical fitness test and they would receive a \$300 bonus if they passed it. Currently in the full time Deputy Sheriff's agreement, they are able to take this physical fitness test and they receive \$500 for passing it. Part of the reason why we agreed to this was because to be able to become a full time Deputy Sheriff, you have to pass a physical fitness test, so we do want them to continue their fitness and have something to achieve every single year because this is once a year, annually that they would be able to participate and gain this bonus but also for health reasons. You might be able to answer it better but there is push-ups, sit up's, there's running –

Sheriff Quattrone: Push-ups, sit up's, and running.

Ms. Wisniewski: Unfortunately some people -

Sheriff Quattrone: There are a number of people who get out of the academy, within a year – they have to pass that to get out of the academy and within a year, they are back out of shape and they can't pass this test.

Chairman Niebel: Did you say \$500?

Legislator Vanstrom: No, they get \$300 for the part timers.

Ms. Wisniewski: For the full timers now in their contract is \$500, yes.

Chairman Niebel: Kitty, is there anything that you want to add to this?

Ms. Crow: I can give some context in terms of the dollar impact. This is a smaller group obviously and they are part time deputies mostly working in court security or transports or they sometimes do road patrol.

Sheriff Quattrone: They do some road patrol but very limited. They mainly work those enhanced contract areas.

Ms. Crow: So for this year, on the high side, should everyone take the PT test and pass, right, but, we know that would be the maximum, the impact to the 2019 budget would be about \$32,000. Realistically it will probably close to half of that number. Then for next year, it will be about \$40,000 just because of the compounding wage factor but in the big picture, it's not a - should we need to amend the budget for 2019, before the end of the year, I mean, we'll know the impact once it's implemented. You know, should it be approved or if the Sheriff's organization would be able to absorb any impact of the wage increase for this year. Will do that exercise if that contract is approved.

Chairman Niebel: So Kitty, right now you don't anticipate the Sheriff's Department, you think that they can absorb this cost right here?

Ms. Crow: I haven't looked at that closely but, potentially. With it being like the wage increase would be, just the wages alone would be about \$14,000. Depending on how much premium pay is worked through the rest of the year and should anyone if there is still time during this year to take the PT test, I think it will probably be low participation in this first year for the PT test so there is potential if they have had other turnover savings within their budget, they might be able to absorb it but I don't know what other factors are going on in their budget right now, but we will access that afterwards.

Chairman Niebel: If we are talking about possible 44 people and half of them getting the PT bonus, that would be about \$6,000.

Sheriff Quattrone: I went through the list and I believe that many of the people who would be taking this test, they are still on probation, so that drops that number drastically. I think the number will be conservatively lower. Then look at the ones who are off probation and knowing who won't take the test, we're under 10 people that will be taking it. This year, depends on when everything is ratified, the last testing day I believe is the first part of October so we may not get anybody that takes it.

Legislator Bankoski: Who administers it?

Sheriff Quattrone: We have two or three individuals who work for the Sheriff's office that are certified to offer the test. Jason Beichner(?), Chad Wright, and Kurt Lyon are the ones that oversee it.

Legislator Vanstrom: How far do you have to run?

Sheriff Quattrone: A mile and a half.

Legislator Vanstrom: How fast?

Sheriff Quattrone: Depends on your age and gender.

(cross talk)

Legislator Bankoski: Like the military, it's based on your age and gender.

Chairman Niebel: Any other questions?

Legislator Pavlock: *(Cross talk)* retirees in this part time area, handful?

Sheriff Quattrone: Yes, there are some retirees. The retirees working for us part time are working in this building. They are in the courts. Without looking at the list, I can't tell you. A lot of them are retirees that are working in this building. Patrol are all people who patrol and transport are those who are really desiring to get full time. The majority of those retirees will not be taking the fitness test.

Chairman Niebel: Any other questions? Jim, I did have some questions but I had the chance to talk to Darryl earlier today. I had the chance to Jess and Kathleen, my one concern was the 3% wage increase for 2020. But, in talking to Finance and Human Resources, we're not talking about a lot of people and not talking about a lot of money. So, I'm o.k., with the 3%. I thought that was a little high but I understand we have problem with retention and even attracting part time Sheriff deputies so I'm in favor of this. I did check the inflation rate for the next couple of years and for 2019, it is 1.8, in 2020 it's 2% projects, Federal reserve and 2% in 2021. So these are pretty close to the inflation rate projections. Also Kitty, I don't know if you mentioned or not but, half of these people are of course security people and a lot of that is State reimbursement.

Sheriff Quattrone: Thirty two of the 49 work in the courts.

Chairman Niebel: O.k., so whatever this figure is, we're going to be reimbursed quite a bit on it so overall, it's an insignificant amount as far as the Sheriff's Department and we're going to get State and Federal aid reimbursement. So, I'm fine with this resolution. Any other questions?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Setting Salary for Special Patrol Officer

Ms. Wisniewski: This is a new title that was created in trying to set a salary for this Special Patrol Officer. What you've probably known as the School Resource Officer, so it's

similar in nature but it's different as well. This is a peace officer title that can be utilized within the schools. One of the main difference between this title and the School Resource Officer title is that this is strictly for the schools. Meaning that this is a part time or you could also classify this as a seasonal position that only works during those 10 months of school. They are not like in the summertime going to be a Deputy Sheriff if they don't have any other responsibility with the schools. This would strictly be for the school calendar. Also, there is a requirement in this title that is only for retired members of either a police department, Sheriff department, Division of State Police, retired corrections can also play a role in this title and a Probation officer. It does allow the schools to have a lower cost opportunity to be able to protect the school and have security and not have, for example, they will not be given benefits, these positions. Again, if they were retiree, they should not be collecting retirement any long –

Chairman Niebel: They are part time positions.

Ms. Wisniewski: Right, strictly part time is what we're considering to also be titled seasonal. So with that, like I said, we are setting salary. There was a lot of discussion between the Sheriff's and the HR Department on setting a salary for this and as you may know or don't know, the court officers currently make \$20.19, the part time Deputy Sheriff's start off at \$18.00 currently, up to \$19.36 and this one we're asking to be a fair amount more, \$27.00. That actually is comparable to DSAC, the full time Deputy Sheriff's at a Step 4. They go up to Step 8, but that's a Step 4 where it's comparable to. The reason being is because one; it would be covered under the contracts that are provided from the schools, the entire amount. Again, they are not paying benefits, it's not a Deputy Sheriff, that's also working potentially road patrol during the summers, and lastly is, because these individuals are retired from several positions and have the experience. They are not newly appointed part time Deputy Sheriff. They have the experience under their belt if they are retired and going into these roles.

Legislator Whitford: So they are not into any retirement system under this?

Sheriff Quattrone: Not under this.

Legislator Whitford: Because they can't be receiving benefits and paying into it at the same time.

Sheriff Quattrone: Correct.

Ms. Wisniewski: The qualification is that they are retired so if they are not being paid, then there is an issue that they are not technically retired. They are out of retirement.

Legislator Pavlock: What is the difference between Special Patrol Officer and a Resource Officer?

Sheriff Quattrone: The Resource Officer is a full time Deputy, not retired. You are still actively working. We're paying into the retirement, we're paying their benefits.

Ms. Wisniewski: But they can make arrest, right?

Sheriff Quattrone: Yes and the Special Patrol Officer can make an arrest within the school and on school property. But once they are off school property, unless they are with a school function, they no longer have that authority. The purpose for going this route is a multi-purpose really. One it was, we have three schools right now with Frewsburg being one of them, Pine Valley and Cassadaga Valley, who are interested in School Resource Officers. In polling our Deputies, there is very few full time Deputies that want to be School Resource Officers. Those who are interested, are very young and I'm not comfortable putting someone who is on probation to work in the schools as a School Resource Officer. I want somebody who has some experience. I think that's a critical job and the other reason is, I mentioned with the Frewsburg contract, we do anticipate not trying to negotiate the contracts be equitable for the Sheriff's office and we're not losing money on the contracts. This is a way for – if a school doesn't have the money to pay the full shot, they can do this and save, depending on the school, between \$15 and \$30,000 on the overall cost for them. It's an option and it's going to depend on the schools. Whether we use it, I don't know yet but I do have several retirees who are interested in doing this.

Legislator Pavlock: I knew that there were some other schools that were looking to fill those positions and I knew there was a limitation of the Deputies. As far as some of the schools thinking of putting in a School Resource Officer, obviously, safety is one but, interaction with students in being able to talk with them and touch them in a way that, if you see a kid starting to go down a different path or drugs and alcohol are big in the schools, that sort of counseling, it only helps (*inaudible*) in other areas also, help the human resources part just as much. It's a way into some of these kids' lives and showing them a positive side of law enforcement too.

Sheriff Quattrone: I think that's even more of it. It's preventing any problems in schools but also preventing problems outside of the school.

Chairman Niebel: As far as training, this person will go to the academy?

Sheriff Quattrone: They would have already been to the academy. Other than we might have some specific School Resource Officer training for them, but they don't have to go to the academy because they've already been through the academy. By this position, they have to be retired so we can't put someone in this position that hasn't been retired yet.

Chairman Niebel: Last question. As far as, I mean, we don't have any budget changes or budget issues?

Legislator Vanstrom: All of it is back charged, right?

Sheriff Quattrone: Right. If a school and I'll be talking with Frewsburg School tomorrow afternoon. I kind of wanted to wait until all the committees were done to see if we get a general idea, I may be naive thinking but I think if three committees approve it, I think I have a pretty good chance of having it approved in the Legislature. Then I would talk to the school to see which route they would take. The school initially is anticipating about \$75,000 and so, I don't know what the school is going to do until tomorrow afternoon.

Chairman Niebel: Anything else?

Unanimously Carried

Other

Chairman Niebel: John Griffith, I've talked to him, there is a problem as far as ambulance response time, especially in the northern part of the County. John couldn't be here to address that today. We're going to try and get him at the September meeting to talk about that.

MOVED by Legislator Bankoski, SECONDED by Legislator Pavlock to adjourn.

Unanimously Carried (5:00 p.m.)

Respectfully submitted and transcribed;
Olivia Ames, Deputy Clerk/Secretary to the Legislature/Lori J. Foster, Sr. Stenographer